Helsinki University of Technology Communications laboratory

#### S-72.333 Postgraduate Course in Radio Communications

18.1.2005 MIMO principles Helka Määttänen hmaattan@cc.hut.fi

# Agenda

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Basic definitions and notation
- 3. Channel model
  - "narrowband array" assumption
- 4. MIMO capacity
- 5. Performance improvment of MIMO system
  - Array gain, diversity, spatial multiplexing gain, interference cancellation
- 6. Conclusions
- 7. References
- Homework

## 1. Introduction

- Fading, the well known pitfall of a wireless channel, can be combat by diversity
- MIMO exploits spatial diversity by having several transmit and receive antennas
- Actually, MIMO effectively takes advantage of random fading and when available, multipath delay spread
- The ability to turn multipath propagation into a benefit for the user is the key feature of MIMO systems.



#### 2. Basic definitions and notation, MIMO

- N transmitter antennas
- M receiver antennas
- s<sub>i</sub>(t) signal transmitted from the jth antenna
- The signal y<sub>i</sub>(t) received at the ith receive antenna is given by



$$y_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{i,j}(\tau, t) * s_j(t) + n_i(t), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., M$$

 where \* denotes the convolution and ni(t) is the noise added at the receiver

#### 2. Basic definitions and notation, MIMO

The channel impulse response • s1(t) V V1(t) between the ith transmit and the ith re С ٠ fo

receive antenna is denoted as 
$$h_{i,j}(\tau,t)$$
  
Channel response  $H(\tau,t)$  is the  
following MxN matrix  

$$H(\tau,t) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{1,1}(\tau,t) & h_{1,2}(\tau,t) & \cdots & h_{1,N}(\tau,t) \\ h_{2,1}(\tau,t) & h_{2,2}(\tau,t) & \cdots & h_{2,N}(\tau,t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{M,1}(\tau,t) & h_{M,2}(\tau,t) & \cdots & h_{M,N}(\tau,t) \end{bmatrix}$$
spatio-temporal  
signature  
induced by jth  
transmitter  
antenna

#### 2. Basic definitions and notation, MISO

- N transmit antennas • One receiver antenna •  $s_j(t)$  signal transmitted from the jth antenna  $\overline{s}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} s_1(t) & s_2(t) & \cdots & s_N(t) \end{bmatrix}^T$ • The received signal y(t) is given by • or  $y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N h_j(\tau, t) * s_j(t) + n(t)$ •  $y(t) = \overline{h}(\tau, t) * \overline{s}(t) + n(t)$
- The MISO channel impulse response is presented by a 1xN vector  $\overline{h}(\tau,t) = \begin{bmatrix} h_1(\tau,t) & h_2(\tau,t) & \cdots & h_N(\tau,t) \end{bmatrix}$



#### 2. Basic definitions and notation, SIMO

- One transmit antenna
- M receiver antennas
- The received signal vector  $\tilde{y}(t)$

 $\overline{y}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1(t) & y_2(t) & \cdots & y_M(t) \end{bmatrix}^T$ 

• is given by

 $\overline{y}(t) = \overline{h}(\tau, t) * s(t) + n(t)$ 

 where ĥ is the Mx1 SIMO channel vector that can be presented as

$$\overline{h}(\tau,t) = \begin{bmatrix} h_1(\tau,t) & h_2(\tau,t) & \cdots & h_M(\tau,t) \end{bmatrix}^T$$



### 3. Channel model

- Suppress the time-varying nature of the channel
- Use the "narrowband array" assumption
- Assume a channel of finite number of iso-delay scatterers
- a scatterer k is located at angle φk, with respect to the transmitter and at angle θk and delay τ with respect to the receiver
- γk is the complex valued scatterer amplitude



#### 3. "Narrowband array" assumption

• The wavefront  $\omega(t)$  impinging at angle  $\theta$  has a bandwidth B

$$\omega(t) = \beta(t) e^{j 2\pi \upsilon_c t}$$

- Under the narrowband assumption we take the bandwidth B to much smaller than the reciprocal of the transit time of the wavefront across the antenna array, i.e. B≈1/T
- Now y2(t) can be represented with y1(t), since the signals are identical except for a phase shift

 $y_2(t) = y_1(t)e^{-j2\pi \sin(\theta)(d/\lambda)}$ 



### 3. Channel model

• With the preceding assumptions a steering vectors at receiver and transmitter arrays may be defined, respectively as

$$\overline{a}(\theta_k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{-j\pi\sin(\theta_k)} & \cdots & e^{-j\pi(N-1)\sin(\theta_k)} \end{bmatrix}^T \qquad \overline{b}(\varphi_k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{-j\pi\sin(\varphi_k)} & \cdots & e^{-j\pi(N-1)\sin(\varphi_k)} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

- The antenna separation d is assumed to be  $\lambda/2$
- The received contribution from the kth scatterer is a vector

$$\overline{y}^{(k)}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^{(k)}(t) & y_2^{(k)}(t) & \cdots & y_M^{(k)}(t) \end{bmatrix}^T \qquad \qquad \mathbf{x} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{scatterer k} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y}^{(k)} = \mathbf{y}_k \overline{a}(\theta_k) \overline{b}(\varphi_k)^T \overline{s} \\ \overline{y}^{(k)} = \mathbf{y}_k \overline{a}(\theta_k) \overline{b}(\varphi_k)^T \overline{s} \\ \end{array}$$

## 3. Channel model

The received signal is the sum of all contributions from all K scatterers

$$\overline{y} = \sum_{k}^{K} \overline{y}^{(k)} = \left(\sum_{k}^{K} \gamma_{k} \overline{a}(\theta_{k}) \overline{b}(\varphi_{k})^{T}\right) \overline{s} = H\overline{s}$$

- Rank of matrix H tells the number of independent contribution at the receiver side
- H is of size NxM i.e. it has rank smaller or equal to min(N,M).
- Rank of H depends also on the number of independent scatteres since it is built as a sum of rank one matrices
- As a conclusion the rank of the channel matrix is smaller or equal to min(N,K,M).

# Capacity

• The ergodic capacity of the MIMO channel is

$$C = \max_{Tr(R_{ss})=N} E_H \left[ \log_2 \left[ \det \left( I_M + \frac{1}{N} \frac{E_s}{N_0} HR_{ss} H^H \right) \right] \right]$$



- where Es is the total average energy over a symbol period and  $R_{ss} = E[ss^{H}]$  is the covariance matrix of s
- If the channel is unknown to the transmitter, the signals are chosen to be independent and equi-powered at the transmit antennas i.e.  $R_{ss} = I_N$  and then the capacity is

$$C = E_{H} \left[ \log_2 \left[ \det \left( I_{M} + \frac{1}{N} \frac{E_s}{N_0} H H^{H} \right) \right] \right]$$

# 5. Array gain

- The average increase in the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) at the receiver when the received signal have been coherently combined
- The transmit/receive array gain depends on N and M and requires channel knowledge in the transmitter and receiver, respectively
- For example in MISO channel if all channel responses are 1 the received signal is y(t)=N\*s(t)/sqrt(N)+n(t) and the signal-to-noise ratio is

$$SNR_{MISO} = \left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{N}}\right)^2 \frac{E\left|s(t)\right|^2}{E\left|n(t)\right|^2} = N * SNR_{SISO}$$

• i.e. the array gain in this case is N

# 5. Diversity

- Assume several uncorrelated channels
- The uncorrelated channels are not likely to have deep fades
   simultaneously
- For example consider a SIMO channel and assume that the antennas are separated by the coherence distance (assume also independent scattering)
- If the probability that the signal level goes below a threshold is p in a SISO channel, the probability that the signal level in every channels goes below the same threshold in MISO channel is p<sup>M</sup>
- Diversity in this case is M





# 5. Spatial multiplexing gain

• Spatial multiplexing gain r is the increase of capacity as can be described with the following formula

 $C = r \log_2(SNR)$ 

- The gain is achieved when more than one independent symbols can be transmitted during the same symbol duration
- In other words the achieved spatial multiplexing gain is dependent on the number of independent data streams that can be supported reliably i.e. the rank of H



# 5. Interference cancellation

- The difference between spatial signatures of the desired signal and the cochannel signal can be exploited in to reduce cochannel interference that arises due to frequency reuse
- Obviously, the knowledge of the channel is required
- Efficient cochannel interference cancellation gives prerequisites for aggressive frequency reuse which leads to increase in multicell capacity



# 6. Conclusions and remarks

- It has been seen that MIMO is a very promising transmission technique
- Channel considered was a simplified version of the real communications channel
- The performance improvements mentioned can not be all exploited simultaneously due to different requirements



### Homework

• We assumed a very simplistic channel. What is your opinion, how would MIMO be working in a real wireless communications channel? Could MIMO be a practical application or only a nice research topic? Give your opinion with reasoning.



### References

- [1] A. Paulraj, R. Nabar, D. Gore: Introduction to Space-Time Wireless Communications, Published May 2003, ISBN: 0521826152
- [2] D. Gesbert, M. Shafi, S. Da-shan, P.J. Smith, A. Naguib, "From theory to practice: an overview of MIMO space-time coded wireless systems", Selected Areas in Communications, IEEE Journal on ,Volume: 21, Issue: 3, April 2003 Pages:281 – 302
- [3] A.J. Paulraj, D.A. Gore, R.U. Nabar, H. Bölcskei, "An overview of MIMO communications - a key to gigabit wireless" Proceedings of the IEEE, Volume: 92, Issue: 2, Feb. 2004 Pages:198 – 218